

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,829	5,829 02/09/2004		Brian M. Adams	A-70385-2/RBC/VEJ	A-70385-2/RBC/VEJ 8173	
32940	7590	08/21/2006	EXAMINER			
DORSEY of		NEY LLP TREET, SUITE 1	CARTAGENA, MELVIN A			
SUITE 1000		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	CA 94104	3754			
				DATE MAIL ED: 08/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,829	ADAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed . the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2 to 10 miles.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/775,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 recites the limitation "said tab" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of prior U.S. Patent No. US 6,571,994. This is a double patenting rejection.

The claims are read in light of the specifications and there is no teaching in the specifications of the aperture being anywhere other than in the "central" portion of the spout top.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,829

Art Unit: 3754

5. Claims 1-3, 6, 10, 11, 13, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

anticipated by US 6,477,743 to Gross et al.

Gross shows a closure as seen in Fig. 5, having a cap 30 including a cap top 54, a cap

Page 3

skirt 52 depending from the cap top, container engagement threads 56, a sleeve 62 extending

upwardly from the cap top, a spout 40 rotatably engaging the sleeve and having a spout top 122

with an aperture 130, an outer spout skirt 120 depending from the spout top, an inner spout skirt

160 depending from the spout top, a stem 70 including a plug 100 for sealing the aperture, an

outer extending spout engaging member 170, an outer extending cap engaging member and

guide 80 for axial stem motion with respect to the sleeve, a sealing member 60, a piercing

structure 110

In reference to claims 3 and 19:

See column 8, lines 57-67 and column 9, lines 1 and 2.

In reference to claims 6 and 18:

The length of the threads 150 limits the rotation of the cap. See Fig. 5.

In reference to claims 10 and 11:

See Fig. 1.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed June 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. As stated in the above rejection there are three components in the device of Gross, a

cap, a spout and a stem. There is no requirement in the claim for individually made components

as the applicant's suggests in the remarks. In the device of Gross when the spout is rotated with

respect to the cap the stem moves up and down with respect to the cap, as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,829 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAC 8/17/06 MAC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700